

~~SECRET//NOFORN~~

PROJECT SUN STREAK

WARNING NOTICE: INTELLIGENCE SOURCES AND METHODS INVOLVED

PROJECT NUMBER: 8728 SESSION NUMBER: 09
DATE OF SESSION: 4 FEB 88 DATE OF REPORT: 5 FEB 88
START: 1300 END: 1350
METHODOLOGY: CRV VIEWER IDENTIFIER: 018

1. (S/NF/SK) MISSION: Conduct a utility assessment to determine remote viewer's ability to determine the substantial essence of a document shielded from normal perception. As an added task, locate the structure wherein the document is stored.

2. (S/NF/SK) VIEWER TASKING: Provide an overhead perspective of the target structure.

3. (S/NF/SK) COMMENTS: Several attempts to determine the substantial essence of the document met with limited success. Rather than belabor the point, 018 was targeted against the second phase of the project, that of determining the structure wherein the document was stored. The session appeared successful and an overhead perspective was obtained. It seems that structure of the CRV methodology appears to be more to 018's liking than the free-wheeling atmosphere of the ERV method. In the following and probably last session, 018 will be tasked to pinpoint the target structure based on his findings in the overhead sketch.

6

HANDLE VIA SKEET CHANNELS ONLY

~~SECRET//NOFORN~~

CLASSIFIED BY: DIA (DT)
DECLASSIFY: OADR

memorandum

DATE: 6 February, 1988

REPLY TO
ATTN OF: DT-5

VIDGO
TAPES
12

SUBJECT: SESSION SUMMARY (C/SS)

SG1J TO: DT-5 (ATTN: [REDACTED])

1. (S-SS) Statistics:	Viewer:	018
	Session date:	3 FEB 88
	Mode of operation:	CRV

2. (S-SS) Cueing:

a. Continue to describe the target site.

3. (S-SS) Results:

a. There was a repeat of the site as seen before, but this time it was seen from a different angle (see rough sketches; pages 1 and 10).

b. The site appears to be made up of several major areas:

1) There is a large area of buildings.

2) The buildings area is bordered on one side by a large open area of grey/black (AOL; parking lot), and another grey/black area which is much smaller.

3) Access roads are curved (see sketches, pages 1, 3, and 10).

4) A large area of water borders the site.

b. One of the buildings at the site (see sketches, pages 2 and 10) seem to contain something of importance. The target in question appears to be somehow bi-located; one part of it is in one end of a long building of the site and the other part of it is in a smaller, separate building. There is a real sense of bi-location about the target object: that it is located in both places, somehow connected other than physically, and that the "thing" in question somehow doesn't

"know" it has separate parts, but "acts like" it is one single unit.

c. Cued to describe any feature(s) which may identify the exact target structure from others at the site, there was a perception of a long, curled black "line". There was a definite impression that this was a wrought iron work of some type (see sketch, page 7). There was also an impression of a large, white sign out in front of the building.

d. Asked to perform a Stage VII acquisition of the target for names, impressions received were:

- 1) "Brown or Braun"
- 2) "Aaron or Irwin or Erwin"
- 3) "De Beery"
- 4) Further cued to give a second name after these, no impressions came.